



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,962	09/08/2003	Mendy S. Maccabee	49321-102	3139
22504	7590	08/07/2009	EXAMINER	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP/Seattle			KIM, JENNIFER M	
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98101-3045			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/658,962	MACCABEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JENNIFER M. KIM	1617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JENNIFER M. KIM. (3) Ms. Mika Mayer.  
 (2) Mr. Davison. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Pending Claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Biesalski (U.S. Patent 5,556,611) and Cazares et al., both of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney of record, Mr. Davison discussed that Biesalski fails to teach or describe treatment of conditions affecting the upper airway, of which sinus diseases and sinus wounds are included because Biesalski describes the disease of the lower airway. Ms. Mayer discussed the difference between the specific loci of nasal or sinus mucosa of instant invention than the loci disclosed by Biesalski.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/JENNIFER M KIM/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617	
---	--